

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/656,526	09/05/2003	Kevin I. Bertness	C382.12-0163	9523		
27367	7590 09/22/2005		EXAMINER			
WESTMAN CHAMPLIN & KELLY, P.A.			TERESINSKI, JOHN			
SUITE 1400 - INTERNATIONAL CENTRE 900 SECOND AVENUE SOUTH			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	IS, MN 55402-3319		2858			

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	 	I A	Application	No.	Applicant(s)		_	
Office Action Summary			 10/656,526		BERTNESS, KEVIN I.			
		E	xaminer		Art Unit			
		Jo	lohn Teresi	nski	2858			
The Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appear	rs on the d	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress		
A SHORTE THE MAILI - Extensions o after SIX (6) - If the period of - Failure to rep Any reply rec	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD F NG DATE OF THIS COMMUN f time may be available under the provision: MONTHS from the mailing date of this com- or reply specified above is less than thirty (if for reply is specified above, the maximum s duy within the set or extended period for repl- leived by the Office later than three months it term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a) munication. 30) days, a reply with tatutory period will ap y will, by statute, cau	a). In no event thin the statuto apply and will e use the applica	, however, may a reply be tim ry minimum of thirty (30) days xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONED	ely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		n.	
Status								
2a)☐ This 3)☐ Since	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 July 2005</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of	Claims							
4a) O 5)	n(s) <u>18-24,27-34 and 36-49</u> is/a If the above claim(s) is/a In(s) is/are allowed. In(s) <u>18-24,27-34 and 36-49</u> is/a In(s) is/are objected to. In(s) are subject to restri	are withdrawn f	from cons	ideration.				
Application Pa	apers							
10) The d Applic Repla	pecification is objected to by the rawing(s) filed on is/are cant may not request that any objected to declaration is objected to	: a) accepted accepte	wing(s) be is required	held in abeyance. See if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	•	d).	
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice of Dra 3) Information I	ferences Cited (PTO-892) aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Mail Date <u>7/5/05</u>) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da) Notice of Informal Pa) Other:	te	O-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/656,526

Art Unit: 2858

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 18-24, 27-34 and 36-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,811,979 to Rhein in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,331,762 to Bertness.

Regarding claims 18 and 36, Rhein discloses a method and device for determining cable resistance including coupling a first Kelvin (Fig. 3, see elements V3, 16) connection to a first side of a load (22) and a second connection to a second side (14)of the load (22),

coupling a voltage sensor to the first side of a dc source (26),

measuring a first parameter of the electrical system between a first Kelvin connection and a second Kelvin connection to the electrical system (column 5 lines 26-27);

measuring a second parameter of the electrical system between the voltage sensor and the second Kelvin connection to the electrical system (column 5 lines 28-30); and

a processor configured to determine cable resistance of wiring of the electrical system between the second Kelvin connection and the first Kelvin connection as a function of the first parameter and the second parameter (column 5 lines 40-43). Rhein does not disclose a battery.

Bertness discloses an electrical battery tester (Fig. 1) wherein first and second Kelvin connectors (36A, 36B) are coupled to first and second sides of the battery (18) and a load (14), including a voltage sensor and a shunt resistance/current sensor (26). It would have been

Application/Control Number: 10/656,526

Art Unit: 2858

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a battery into Rhein as taught by Bertness for the purpose of providing a reliable cable testing apparatus suitable for use in vehicles in order to prevent damage to vehicle electrical systems.

Regarding claims 19 and 37, Rhein disclose providing multiple circuits for providing multiple parameters sensed as a function of other parameters (column 5 lines 34-45).

Regarding claims 20, 21, 38 and 39, Rhein discloses the first and second parameters are dynamic and measured in response to a forcing function (column 4 lines 51-61).

Regarding claims 22, 23, 40 and 41, Rhein discloses the forcing function comprises an active forcing function and wherein the forcing function comprises passive forcing function (column 4 lines 51-67).

Regarding claim 24, Rhein discloses including Kelvin connectors to the electrical system (column 5 line 15).

Regarding claims 27, 28, 42 and 43, Rhein discloses determining electrical resistance in accordance to the equation as claimed (column 5 lines 15-18) and applying a forcing function to the C and D points (Fig. 1, points 14 and 16).

Regarding claims 30-32 and 45-47, Rhein discloses an output configured to provide an output/ an output to an operator/ an output to electrical circuitry related to the cable resistance of the wiring (column 5 lines 55-67, column 6 lines 1-3).

Regarding claims 33 and 48, Rhein discloses a pass/fail output (column 6 lines 1-3.

Regarding claims 34 and 49, Rhein discloses output is indicative of a voltage drop for a particular current through the electrical system (column 5 lines 55-67).

Application/Control Number: 10/656,526

Art Unit: 2858

Regarding claims 29 and 44, Rhein does not disclose measurements indicative of a cold cracking amp measurement. Bertness discloses measurements indicative of a cold cracking amp measurement (column 6 lines 32-42). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include measurements indicative of a cold cracking amp measurement as taught by Bertness into Rhein for the purpose of providing a more accurate battery testing means.

Page 4

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Teresinski whose telephone number is (571) 272-2235. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Lefkowitz can be reached on (571) 272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

J I JT September 18, 2005

> VINCENT Q. NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER